



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,299	10/25/2001	Stewart Thomas Leslie	208.1009	4506
23280	7590 07/29/2005		EXAMINER	
DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC			YOUNG, MICAH PAUL	
485 SEVENT NEW YORK,	H AVENUE, 14TH FLOO NY 10018	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,	,		1618	

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/037,299	LESLIE, STEWART THOMAS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Micah-Paul Young	1618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 May 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-17</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Acknowledgment of Papers Received: Amendment/Remarks dated 5/28/04.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1,2,5-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fallon et al (USPN 5,352,456 hereafter '456). The claims are drawn to a percutaneous formulation comprising an opiod analgesic and distressing compound. The formulation comprises a penetration enhancer.
- 3. The '456 patent teaches a percutaneous pressure sensitive adhesive transdermal formulation comprising a combination of active agents, along with a penetration enhancer (abstract). The active agents include opiods such as morphine, fentanyl and mephedrine, and atropine (col. 2, lin. 28-46). The penetration enhancer includes ethanol, ethyl ether or acetone (claims). The formulation is in the form of a reservoir with a backing and underlying release liner (claims). Regarding the claims limitations drawn to the function of the distressing agent and its deterrence of a potential abuser, it is the position of the Examiner that such limitations do not impart patentability on the invention. These limitations are regarded as a future intended use and do not change the structural composition of the instant claims. The prior art teaches an identical structure in the field of endeavor of transdermal drug delivery. Where a patentee defines a structurally complete invention in the claim body and uses the preamble only to state a

Application/Control Number: 10/037,299 Page 3

Art Unit: 1618

purpose or intended use for the invention, the preamble is not a claim limitation. See also *Rowe* v. *Dror*, 112 F.3d 473, 478, 42 USPQ2d 1550, 1553 (Fed. Cir. 1997). With this in mind these disclosures render the claims anticipated.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Micah-Paul Young whose telephone number is 571-272-0608. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-4:30 every other Monday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Micah-Paul Young Examiner Art Unit 1618

MP Young

THUBMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PAPENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY PENTER 1600